

John Kozlowski

From: Jim Cross <jimcross45@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 16, 2014 5:17 AM
To: John Kozlowski
Subject: Re: Still trying to reason together

So be it.

Jim

From: [John Kozlowski](#)
Sent: Thursday, May 15, 2014 6:13 PM
To: jimcross45@gmail.com
Cc: [Dorothy-Jane Kozlowski \(Outlook\)](#)
Subject: RE: Still trying to reason together

Mr. Cross,

You do what you accuse me of in being “based on your interpretation”. You blast my “interpretation of current events” when I was documenting history and pointing to our own government’s documents. I relay their interpretation not mine.

If my efforts are based on trying to prevent myself or my family from being part of the next 100,000,000+ that governments have already killed of their own people in the last century; is that a fault? Your response says it is ok, just as long as it is someone else and not me.

You are playing with the word divorce. Remember your attitude once was “I was convinced that DJ has grounds for a scriptural divorce. If I were writing the script, that’s where we would be heading.” That didn’t make you an ally to my family. Separating, including the shame of going to the courts, relates to divorce the same way as oral sex relates to normal sex. People use the one to say they are not doing the other.

Be it known, that if the Lord allows my children to return to me, they will be taught that attitudes like yours are spineless. I won’t use your name as I pray your attitude will change.

Respectfully,

John Kozlowski



Email: John@Kozlowski.org • Phone: (423) 716-1066

PO Box 551, Cleveland, TN 37364-0551

[Kozlowski.org](#) • [Distinctivist.com](#) • [ShofarNexus.com](#) • [ShofarPortfolio.com](#)

Fear God and keep his commandments, for this is the whole duty of man.

Ecclesiastes 12:13

From: jimcross45@gmail.com [mailto:jimcross45@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 15, 2014 4:51 PM
To: John Kozlowski
Subject: Re: Still trying to reason together

The passage in Matthew is clearly speaking of divorce when taken in context. Jesus was specifically being questioned about divorce in an effort to trap him by his answer. He didn't fall for their trick. He readily admitted that Moses had indeed given instructions as to how divorce should be carried out while at the same time agreeing with His father that divorce had no place in God's original design.

DJ has not divorced you. I aided her in setting up a separate living environment where she and her children would be cared for. She nor I have violated Matthew 19:6.

Your answer to the other questions is based on your interpretation of current events. While it is true that what our government is doing can be used in an evil way, the gathering and holding information regarding the citizenry, guests, and illegal aliens in this country in and of itself is certainly not evil. That effort is not sinful, and I'm confident that just as it can be used in evil ways, it can be used for good.

It appears to me that your personal bias against our government caused you to turn your back on your family, and as long as you remain committed that strongly to your bias, I really don't think you can be trusted with the care of your family.

Their care is God's responsibility ultimately. We both can agree on that. But, He has specifically called us to take care of them. That's not His suggestion as to how things should go; it's His directive. That's why I keep going back to 1 Timothy. It's not an effort to throw God's Word in your face. It's my attempt to call you to repentance. I believe I am following God's clear directive.

It is undeniable that DJ's husband has put his interpretation of current events ahead of her absolutely quantifiable needs. Your children's father has also put his interpretation of current events ahead of their quantifiable needs. I know you believe you are acting righteously, or you would not be doing so. I don't think you are an evil man, but I keep bringing up the same Scripture with a prayer that you will see and accept their care as God's clear directive to you.

I'm not harping on that one job offer that you refused. That one is just one example of other missed opportunities.

How can I communicate to your wife and children that they are valuable when their own father chooses to say, "No" to an opportunity to care for them.

You don't need to answer this email; just consider it - please.

Sent from Windows Mail

From: John Kozlowski
Sent: Thursday, May 15, 2014 2:39 PM
To: 'Jim Cross'
Cc: Dorothy-Jane Kozlowski (Outlook)

Mr. Cross,

A fundamental issue is I know too much about these subjects. To willfully do what I know and can demonstrate is wrong is reprehensible.

I will not stand with a nation that builds the American Auschwitz, also known as the Utah Data Center. It is more oppressive and will probably prove to be more deadly than the place in Poland where the name comes from. This is especially true when I know how it is used, and would indeed be a good candidate for doing well paid work in its support. It would require that I can settle in my mind to take the Nuremburg defense, which is spitting in the face of God. The license plate software feeds this place.

We rightly have a disgust for what the Nazi regime did. Should we not have the same disgust for a nation that in the past decade has militarily slaughter approximately the same number of people outside the nation as did Nazi Germany leading up to 1942. Remember history, the people in Germany in 1942 were still going through life normally as the economy was good as it was built of the façade of war. But the war was outside their borders. 2014 USA is no different. Romans 13, which is what I think you are referring to, is often referred to as Hitler's favorite verse for good reason. It is a serious distortion to take it with blind submission, or even worse adding a caveat to salve one's conscience. I will stick with 1 Samuel 8:7 as it is consistent with all of scripture.

The last time the non-elect's destination is mentioned in Revelation is 21:8. It has the typical list of people, "*murderers, the sexually immoral, sorcerers, idolaters, and all liars*", but it starts with "*the cowardly*". I will NOT submit to being cowardly in the face of an oppressive regime, even when it is nicely packaged.

Given the above, and so much more, license plate reading software is a very large tool in the oppressor's arsenal. It makes the Stasi look like armatures. Talk about something that would make me *sick to my stomach!*

You continue to throw 1 Timothy 5:8 at me as if it is both correctly applied and the only verse the bible. You explain to my why you can violate Matthew 19:6 and I will explain 1 Timothy 5:8.

I suggest it is less offensive to the Lord to take a job for Hustler magazine then doing license plate reading software. Hustler offers a vile product that we have the option to take part in. The government imposes. Do you see the difference?

You would be concerned about working for an offensive foreign government. Again we should look at history and the subject of democide. Foreign governments are a trivial issue compared to our own.

Also if you think that the US Government is not making an attack against Christianity, read the history of Lyndon Johnson and his effort behind the 501-C3 to muzzle on the church or read "Strategic Implications of American Millennialism" (<http://www.dtic.mil/cgi-bin/GetTRDoc?AD=ADA485511&Location=U2&doc=GetTRDoc.pdf>) and follow what was built on that. The US Government is not your friend.

This isn't a game. It is not time to play nice. It is time to trust in the Lord and be obedient to Him. I will NOT be a coward!

Respectfully,

John Kozlowski



Email: John@Kozlowski.org • Phone: (423) 716-1066

PO Box 551, Cleveland, TN 37364-0551

Kozlowski.org • Distinctivist.com • ShofarNexus.com • ShofarPortfolio.com

Fear God and keep his commandments, for this is the whole duty of man.

Ecclesiastes 12:13

From: Jim Cross [<mailto:jimcross45@gmail.com>]

Sent: Thursday, May 15, 2014 1:14 PM

To: John Kozlowski
Subject: Still trying to reason together

John,

Dorothy-Jane has shared with me some of what you and she have been discussing via email. There is one passage in one of your emails that made me sick to my stomach – literally. I don't mean it made me angry. It just made me sick for you and your family. I am convinced that it needs to be addressed directly. Here is what you wrote:

While I was in Athens a job offer was set before me that was very attractive in many ways. It was the technology I was comfortable with. It was probably again six figures. It was in Chattanooga. They wanted me immediately. All was right but one issue. They were developing license plate reading tools. It seemed to me that the Lord was saying here is an easy question for you. Are you willing to leave your \$15 an hour job for something at least triple that to help serve the state and therefore be an oppressor of My people? There was no hesitation in me, I said an immediate no the offer once I found out what it was. Events like these help me see more clearly what I believe is right in the eyes of the Lord and what would be an offense to the Lord.

It appears in so many ways that we are seeing history repeat itself. When Jeremy Gaines told me in a conversation that Dietrich Bonhoeffer was wrong and the church's job is just to preach Christ it sent chills through me. It seems that so many would do the license plate software and provide well for your family. This is the Nuremberg defense and it doesn't work before the Lord. I will not stand with the crowd shouting "hail victory" when the Lord has made it so clear to "seek first the kingdom of God and his righteousness". I am convinced I must fold my arms like August Landmesser and pay the price.

I have a few questions for you regarding this quotation:

- 1) Where in God's Word did you read something that led you to understand that working on a job that would give our government (I use the word "our" because God has clearly stated that human government is God's provision for our protection) the ability to read license plates? I'm assuming that means reading license plates from a distance or something like that, although it probably doesn't matter if I understand that or not.
- 2) Bearing in mind that working for \$15/hour wouldn't begin to meet the needs of your large family, did you consider your answer to number 1 above relative to 1 Timothy 5:8?
- 3) If the answer to number two above is yes, on what criteria did the 1 Timothy passage take the back seat?

If the job offered were one at Hustler Magazine, I would surely see that it should be turned down. God would not countenance your effort to aid His enemy. If it were to work for a foreign government whose ambition to destroy the US would be enhanced by your services, that too would surely be unacceptable. God's Word clearly teaches us to be in submission to our government (assuming it is not directly violating God's statutes in the current particular matter). If the government were trying to hire you to help destroy a particular body of believers, clearly that job should be turned down.

So, I know there could be a situation where a job must be refused in order to obey God rather than man. My third question above is directly related to the fact that you turned down an opportunity to show DJ, me, &

anyone else (including God, Himself) that caring for the needs of your family had taken the place of priority that it should.

How do you support turning that opportunity (not the job itself, but the care of your family's needs) down? I really need a clear answer to these questions, John, and I'm coming to you man to man asking for the answers. Please focus on the one subject without bringing in other issues. I know there are a myriad of issues that you have with me, DJ, and other individuals and churches. Please don't address those until you have clearly answered the direct questions with direct answers. I think clarity will be beneficial to us both.

Thanks,
Jim