John Kozlowski

From:	John Kozlowski <john@kozlowski.org></john@kozlowski.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, November 28, 2017 4:16 PM
То:	'Jim Cross'
Cc:	'Dorothy-Jane Kozlowski'; Dorothy-Jane Kozlowski (Outlook)
Subject:	RE: Bible Study

Mr. Cross,

That would be 2Co 11:23, and if you read from the beginning of the chapter, I stand with Paul.

Please note I offered evidence, and as unfortunately so frequent, you returned with insult.

For the past couple hours I drove the gentleman that I picked up from prison a couple weeks ago to Chattanooga to see a dentist. As with the last trip with him, I took a book to read while waiting, "Scripture Alone" by James White. Today I read chapter 2 it is remarkable to me that it was essentially the same concepts I presented last weekend on video.

You have suggested a set of videos for me and a book which I have viewed and read. If I send you a copy of the book, would you read it, at least chapter two? Perhaps you will find that I am not the only one who believe that we need to take Scripture for what it says. Are you willing?

Respectfully,

Iohn Kozlowskí



Email: John@Kozlowski.org • Phone: (423) 716-6432 Kozlowski.org • Distinctivist.com • ShofarNexus.com Fear God and keep his commandments, for this is the whole duty of man. Ecclesiastes 12:13

From: jimcross45@gmail.com [mailto:jimcross45@gmail.com] On Behalf Of Jim Cross Sent: Tuesday, November 28, 2017 2:32 PM To: John Kozlowski Subject: Re: Bible Study

John,

When the apostle Paul wrote things similar to what you just sent me he followed it up with "I'm talking like a madman." (My translation)

I don't really think it is wise for you to pat yourself on the back, and I don't think I should join you in that.

I would say I'm sorry for trying to befriend you, but that would be a lie. I'm not at all sorry that once again I tried. But, I don't have any thought of going further with this conversation.

Jim

On Tue, Nov 28, 2017 at 1:04 PM, John Kozlowski <<u>John@kozlowski.org</u>> wrote:

Mr. Cross,

Assuming your short comment is in reference to "Show me your faith by your deeds!" please consider that:

- My faith states that I should not turn to the state (1Co6). I have not.
- My faith states that I remain a married man. This has been proclaimed repeatedly and is lived out.
- My faith states that I am to pursue reconciliation. Consider <u>http://Family.Kozlowski.org</u>.
- My faith states that I am to earnestly contend for the faith. Again consider <u>http://Family.Kozlowski.org</u>.
- My faith states that I am to teach my children the ways of the Lord. Again consider <u>http://Family.Kozlowski.org</u>.

I don't think your answers are the same.

Why don't we get on the phone and talk this out?

Respectfully,

John Kozlowskí

Email: John@Kozlowski.org • Phone: (423) 716-6432 Kozlowski.org • Distinctivist.com • ShofarNexus.com Fear God and keep his commandments, for this is the whole duty of man. Ecclesiastes 12:13

From: jimcross45@gmail.com [mailto:jimcross45@gmail.com] On Behalf Of Jim Cross Sent: Tuesday, November 28, 2017 12:49 PM To: John Kozlowski Subject: Re: Bible Study

And you likewise.

On Tue, Nov 28, 2017 at 12:18 PM, John Kozlowski < John@kozlowski.org > wrote:

Mr. Cross,

What concerns me is that I think you believe what you wrote with "When I sent you the video, John, I was sharing that to show exactly *from scripture*". I pointed out how he overtly twisted 1Co7, how he skipped Mark 10, and he used the Talmud. I hope you know what that means! This is not close to Mark 7:8, but exactly that "*Neglecting the commandment of God, you hold to the tradition of men.*" The Talmud is the very thing Jesus was speaking of, verbally then, and written a couple hundred years later. If this is what you think is "exactly *from scripture*" then we indeed serve a different Lord. I am a follower of the Lord Jesus Christ and not a Talmudic Jew.

I pointed this out clearly and repeatedly. You have yet to give a single bit of evidence contrary, but simply state "accept that as contending via Scripture." You are right, I don't consider the Talmud Scripture. I don't consider the blatant twisting he did of 1Co7 as a good exposition of Scripture. I do subscribe to the "Tota Scriptura" concept and therefore will not ignore Mark 10. Do you disagree with any of that? If so, are you willing to back that up?

I offered evidence and dialog on specific verses, and you simply state that we interpret things differently. I'm sorry, but that is an unloving reply. If I am wrong, if I don't have the understand of what the Lord means, then correct me, don't run scared, but love me in obedience to the Lord!

I hope you understand my concern that if you truly believe that you offered evidence "exactly **from scripture**" then I propose you are described, as I did Sunday, in 2 Timothy 4:3 "For the time will come when they will not endure sound doctrine; but wanting to have their ears tickled, they will accumulate for themselves teachers in accordance to their own desires, and will turn away their ears from the truth and will turn aside to myths."

Please don't sell yourself to the source you reference on video. Dorothy-Jane is my wife, as the Scripture states. The Talmud, the state, or any other authority cannot change that. Skip the distraction of your self-pity about how you are the "whipping boy" and do as I requested, be a man, be my brother, defend my wife, your daughter, with the love of the Lord and His commands from Scripture. Show me your faith by your deeds!

Respectfully,

John Kozlowskí

Email: John@Kozlowski.org • Phone: (423) 716-6432 Kozlowski.org • Distinctivist.com • ShofarNexus.com Fear God and keep his commandments, for this is the whole duty of man. Ecclesiastes 12:13

From: jimcross45@gmail.com [mailto:jimcross45@gmail.com] On Behalf Of Jim Cross Sent: Tuesday, November 28, 2017 11:37 AM To: John Kozlowski Subject: Re: Bible Study

These describe the reconciliation that I was putting forward:

3) to be **reconciled**, to renew friendship with one

1. To reestablish a close relationship between: reconciled the **opposing parties**.

When I sent you the video, John, I was sharing that to show exactly *from scripture* how I came to my conclusion, but you don't want to accept that as contending via Scripture. I am trying my best to reconcile with you according to the definitions above, but you seem to be requiring that I come to agree with you on definitions and interpretations.

As I sent the previous email, I prayed earnestly for your heart. I prayed that you would accept it with an open heart as it was sent from an open heart. It wasn't marketing or anything of the sort. It was an offer of friendship - fellow sinner to fellow sinner / fellow believer to fellow believer.

But what I see in your reply is a stiff arm to friendship. In that, we both lose.

I'm still willing to set aside our differences. And, I'm even required by Jesus to allow myself to be your whipping boy if it is for Him that I am such. That's where I find myself today - truly. You are trying to beat me up while I'm offering peace. You are taking my offer of a Bible study that could bring light to your soul and using it as a jumping off place to ridicule me. What I sent as a sign of friendship you threw back in my face, and that makes me sad - not for myself, but for you. I am crucified with Christ. Nevertheless I live; yet not I, but it is Christ who lives in me. I live by faith in the Son of God who loved me and gave himself for me.

Jim

On Tue, Nov 28, 2017 at 9:25 AM, John Kozlowski <<u>John@kozlowski.org</u>> wrote:

Mr. Cross,

May I suggest that your example is holding a grudge over something in the past. I agree that this is an issue and I have been guilty, but I don't think this is our current concern.

You then moved on to what is very real and current, what is marriage. This is not a grudge over something in the past, but an issue of the present.

You use terms like "try to persuade me" which I suggest places the discussion in the wrong frame. This is not a competition to see who wins, but should be a shared effort to come to the truth, i.e. contention. You state that you "offered Scripture". Really, where? Please don't point again to someone else, or an institution, or the common "others", but consider let us both look at Scripture together. Are you willing?

It comes down to you defending the idea that Dorothy-Jane is a single woman via opinions of others, and I have offered Scripture to point out that she is my wife or an adulteress. I suggest I am the one that is defending her, I am the one who is loving her. As stated before, prove me wrong, from Scripture and not "others".

Please stop with the "beat our same drums" and the like. You have not come to the table yet for a serious dialog, but only have done marketing, and I suggest more to yourself and anyone else.

Please also don't redefine reconciliation. Thayer defines "diallasso" from Mat 5:24 as:

1) to change

2) to change the mind of anyone, to reconcile

3) to be **reconciled**, to renew friendship with one

Reconcile is defined as:

1. To reestablish a close relationship between: reconciled the **opposing parties**.

2. To settle or resolve: reconciled the dispute.

3. To bring (oneself) to accept: He finally reconciled himself to the change in management.

4. To make compatible, harmonious, or consistent: reconcile my way of thinking with yours.

5. To compare (one financial account) so that it is consistent or compatible with another: **reconciled my ledger** against my bank statement.

Note my highlighting. The idea of "overlooking perceived wrongs" is not to be found in the above.

I agree that we must forgive wrongs of the past, but we have a fundamental issue in the present. Dorothy-Jane is my wife and as her husband I must defend her, even when she and her dad don't want me to. After much review I contend that my stand in "Marriage, Divorce, Remarriage, Adultery, Mercy" (<u>http://family.kozlowski.org/2017-10-14+2</u>) remains sound. Don't point to others, point to Scripture to show me anything that is wrong in my stand. As before, I contend you can't!

Please also consider how much you are marketing to yourself. You have repeatedly used my terms, perhaps with a twist, and sent them back, such as "I am willing. Are you willing?" However, you don't respond when they are addressed to you.

What you seem to be saying, correct me if I'm wrong, is that you are not willing to address the Scripture presented, you are not willing to look at your daughter as my wife as the Scripture describes, but you are willing to sweep this all under the rug and say "let's be friends and have a nice day". As for me I will stand with "**Interpret Scripture with Scripture**" (<u>http://family.kozlowski.org/2017-09-23</u>).

I took the time to review the document you requested and have responded. I took the time to review the videos you point to in the past and responded. I have offered much Scripture to you in writing and video form. Where is your response to the specific Scripture, such as any in "Marriage, Divorce, Remarriage, Adultery, Mercy"?

Please consider that the amount of work that has gone into <u>http://Family.Kozlowski.org</u> is huge. This is not an act of pride or revenge, but an act of love and an attempt to be obedience to the Lord and proclaim His ways, even when it is embarrassing to me. I have been offered no hope by the institutional church leadership, extended family, or my wife, but I press on anyway because that is what love does, even when the police arrive at my door.

Mr. Cross, please contend as a man, as my brother, not as a salesman. My wife and my kids are being hated. Please let's love them together. Let you and I reconcile ourselves guided by the same Scripture.

Are you willing? If so, consider the standing offer to speak together on the phone.

Respectfully,

John Kozlowskí

Email: John@Kozlowski.org • Phone: (423) 716-6432 Kozlowski.org • Distinctivist.com • ShofarNexus.com Fear God and keep his commandments, for this is the whole duty of man. Ecclesiastes 12:13

From: jimcross45@gmail.com [mailto:jimcross45@gmail.com] On Behalf Of Jim Cross Sent: Tuesday, November 28, 2017 8:21 AM To: John Kozlowski Subject: Re: Bible Study

Thanks for taking time to preview this study!

Madge and I probably have a minimum of 20 hours invested in it so far, and we have found numerous areas that brought us to a time of repentance and forgiveness (for ourselves and toward others). Let me give you an illustration of one area that applies to my life.

Back in the mid-2000's I had two salespeople who were - as far as I could tell - believers. Both of them stabbed me in the back and stole so much of the business I had turned over to them that it brought me to a point where my territory was no longer profitable to EBF, and I felt my only godly response was to resign from my managerial position with the company.

I was hurt deeply, especially because they were fellow believers. I was angry and resentful - until I saw the situation thru new eyes that the Holy Spirit gave me thru this study. I came to see that the business they stole was never truly mine. That business was my Father's business. So, if that was the truth, they couldn't possibly steal it from me. How could they steal from me something that wasn't mine!?!

For the first time in over a decade, I had complete freedom from the anger and resentment that I had been living with. I confessed the sin of my heart, and I was immediately able to pray for Keith and Denise. I was able to pray for our Father to bless them despite their wrong-doing toward me. That was accomplished about three, maybe four weeks ago, and that peace and humble forgiveness toward them is still with me.

John, that's just one of several areas of sin and failure that I have dealt with and am in the long term process of leaving in the dust thru the person of Jesus and work of the Holy Spirit. My hope is that you will join me in seriously looking at the basic needs that the Lord has built into us as humans that He intends to meet in Jesus and thru the Holy Spirit.

I think if you will slowly and prayerfully, without deceit, consider the strongholds that Mike and Sue have put in front of us you will also find areas where your enemy has been given entrance and has built a stronghold in you. That statement isn't an indictment from me. It's just an acknowledgement that all believers are vulnerable to the enemy and we have been blinded in this area or that by the prince of the power of the air - the spiritual forces of evil in the heavenly realms.

My hope and prayer is that you will take the time and put in the effort to receive all that the Father has for you thru this study.

As that occurs, maybe we can have some further dialog as to how we are being changed rather than continuing to argue (your word would be contend) over things where we disagree.

I know exactly where you stand on marriage and divorce, and I have no doubt that it is pointless for you to try to persuade me to come to agreement with you. I offered Scripture explaining how I and others have come to a different conclusion of what the whole of Scripture teaches about that same subject, and I have no doubt that we will not be able to persuade you to adopt our conclusion.

So, why should we beat our same drums over and over again. This side of heaven I don't think we will ever come to the same conclusion. But we can still live at peace with one another - at the foot of the cross - until that day comes when all things are made new.

To me, this is what reconciliation looks like; two brothers aiding each other in areas where we can, and overlooking perceived wrongs done one to the other. Love can cover those offences.

I am willing. Are you willing?

I pray so, in Jesus Name.

Jim

On Mon, Nov 27, 2017 at 9:35 PM, John Kozlowski < John@kozlowski.org > wrote:

Mr. Cross,

I have now read the document and attempted to take it as an effort to encourage.

While there were some issues I had to deal with I did find a lot of wisdom in what was written. Please allow me to first set aside what troubled me.

I have to admit, as I have studied Scripture and history, I have grown convinced that the sentiment that the current country of Israel is based on Zionism, and has nothing to do with a rebirth. It is Scofield and not Scripture. The mentioning of this in the preface tainted my initial response, but as I read further it was not the focus and therefore not a distraction.

I did sense a bit of a charismatic overtone or a bit of the Hebrew Roots ideology, but still very reasoned, so this also was not a distraction.

Some comments hit hard, such as "Studies show that children of have extreme difficulty experiencing relational security for the remainder of their lives". But then you might have noticed my continued attempt at reconciliation with my wife.

It was also mentioned another document, "Growing Relationships Through Confrontation". While I have not review this one, you might have noticed my constant discussion of contention, or "agonizomai".

From your distance you would not be exposed to my constant appeal to my friend Chris to constantly check me on any area that I might be going astray. We offer each other constructive criticism constantly, which is an act of love.

It was also interesting to note that many of the verses used and the conclusions drawn can be found in my writings and videos. Perhaps we have the same reference?

I do have trouble with the body, soul, spirit issue, but this is also secondary.

You also might ask Dorothy-Jane about the incidents in Woodville revolving around her comment to me that "you are saying things that you don't believe" and the demon discussion.

So now let me be open and honest with you. Our history shows that you seem to point to other sources, including some that point to the Scripture, but rarely will deal directly with me on the Scripture. I hope that will not be the case this time, but I hope you understand that it is hard to be optimistic.

I have set before you numerous questions, written and on video, but responses are few. Can I be in hope that this will improve?

Rather than me setting something before you, do you have something specific to set before me? Please do so and let's start a serious dialog.

Respectfully,

John Kozlowskí

Email: John@Kozlowski.org • Phone: (423) 716-6432 Kozlowski.org • Distinctivist.com • ShofarNexus.com From: John Kozlowski [mailto:<u>John@Kozlowski.org</u>] Sent: Monday, November 27, 2017 12:51 PM To: 'Jim Cross' Subject: RE: Bible Study

Mr. Cross,

While I am grateful for the hike I had to the store today, thus far the rest of my day has been unusually active with people that I am trying to be a reflection of Christ to, including a Mormon, 3 Hindus, and several clueless. With all that at hand, I will commit to reading the book as soon as possible since it is a priority for me to reconcile with you. If this will help, I will do it.

Respectfully,

John Kozlowskí

Email: John@Kozlowski.org • Phone: (423) 716-6432 Kozlowski.org • Distinctivist.com • ShofarNexus.com Fear God and keep his commandments, for this is the whole duty of man. Ecclesiastes 12:13

From: jimcross45@gmail.com [mailto:jimcross45@gmail.com] On Behalf Of Jim Cross Sent: Monday, November 27, 2017 8:13 AM To: John Kozlowski Subject: Re: Bible Study

Good morning, John,

Please accept my apology for getting side-tracked from my mission of grace. In error, I left the mission at hand (sharing a good Bible study that Madge and I have found to be so helpful) and reacted to your response rather than staying on target. I wish I had not done that.

Please really consider going thru this study with an open mind and heart. It is packed with Scripture that applies directly to our relationship to our Father and neighbors.

Mike and Sue, the authors, suggest early on that we take very seriously the enemy's attempt to build a stronghold of deceit in our mind, will, and emotions. Madge and I took that to heart and started there, and I would think that would be the best place for you or anyone else to start as well.

I am praying that you will put aside your negative thoughts concerning the sender of this material and simply ask the Holy Spirit to open your eyes to any benefit that you might receive from this study. Who knows, this could be the place where you and I could start to move toward one another.

May it be so.

Jim

On Fri, Oct 13, 2017 at 1:27 PM, John Kozlowski <<u>John@kozlowski.org</u>> wrote:

Mr. Cross,

When I first read you email I was considering going point by point to show that you are simply doing blame shifting, but that seems pointless.

You state "If you want to accuse and condemn, my door is closed." Did you read the paragraph before that where you stated "but you surely have not repented". Is that not an accusation? There are many cases of more complete confessions and repentance that can be found in writing and video. You seem to have no idea of how I have changed, but only measure repentance in money. From an earlier dialog we don't see the same in Mat 6:24/Luk 16:13 "No one can serve two masters".

Are you willing to dialog on a level playing field? What you present is not that. As I have pointed out repeatedly, the contention model in Scripture, "agōnizomai", seeking of a goal, the truth. When brothers contend together, for the truth, it is a very good thing and an act of love. Shall we?

As I have pointed out extensively, my reference is the Scripture. I have given numerous verses to present my case of what guides me. I am ready to wrestle with you on that when you are willing since that is the model set forth in Gen 32, and I suggest amplified in Jude 3.

It appears what I continue to get from you is generalities and few responses to specifics.

You seem to be offering a general "take responsibility" refrain. I have stated clearly that I will not support someone living in sin and refusing communications. I'm sorry, but I don't see love in that. I see a model of provision upon return from abandonment, such as the Prodigal Son (Luk 15), or more specially since the relationship between a husband and wife mirrors the relationship between the Lord and His elect, so it seems the model of Zec 1:3 is applicable. Can you point out from Scripture where I am wrong on that?

About 14 months ago, when we spoke on the phone, you made the suggestion of a financial discussion. I did receive one email to that effect, and responded with questions, and even fulfilled one request. But then silence. Who again is not taking responsibility?

I set before my wife, and you, the "<u>Holding Jobs</u>" question, but no response. Do you know how much of an impact that has had on me? Should I be willing to literally steal and kill to feed my family?

I set before you the "Jim Cross - Interpret Scripture with Scripture" and still don't know where you stand. I'm pursing reconciliation with my wife, not a single woman as you describe her, because again the Scripture is my reference. You are closing your email with "In the Name of Jesus", but which Jesus?

Please consider picking one single point that we can discuss with Scripture as our reference. Let's see if we indeed are seeking the same Lord.

Please also remember that I am not setting any precondition to dialog. All I am doing is trying to be complete and unambiguous in my communications. I ask for the same from you. Please come to the table FULLY.

Respectfully,

John Kozlowskí

Email: John@Kozlowski.org • Phone: (423) 716-6432

From: jimcross45@gmail.com [mailto:jimcross45@gmail.com] On Behalf Of Jim Cross Sent: Friday, October 13, 2017 12:27 PM To: John Kozlowski Subject: Re: Bible Study

I told you earlier that I am willing to reconcile with you. Here's what that means.

You broke faith with me. I didn't break faith with you. I am still proceeding with life without animosity to you. I have been forgiven much, as Jesus said, so I have forgiven you. That's why I reached out to you with the pdf that I found to be valuable in my walk with the Lord.

Feel free to go back and read the letter I put in your hand when I came to the home in Benton to take over the care of DJ and children. I didn't do that with hatred. I did that with hope that you might decide to take responsibility for their care, which you chose not to do. So, who broke faith with whom?

You have my forgiveness for putting DJ's and your children's care on me. I have no idea at all if you have asked the Lord for forgiveness, but you surely have not repented because with repentance comes change, and there has been no change in your care for them.

If you want to reconcile, my door is open. If you want to accuse and condemn, my door is closed.

In the Name of Jesus,

Jim

On Fri, Oct 13, 2017 at 7:46 AM, John Kozlowski < John@kozlowski.org > wrote:

Mr. Cross,

You dropped out my reply. I have included it again below as I believe it is helpful.

What is more important, who wins the game on Sunday or to first be reconciled with your brother?

Please remember the greatest gift is the reconciliation the Lord gives to His elect. We are called to model that with each other. I have attempted to reconcile with you, but you continue to refuse it. It remains on the table.

Respectfully,

Mr. Cross,

Again let me be honest and please don't take this as rude. It seemed like you offered a hopeful bit of opening, but what I am reading now seems to be a slamming of that shut.

I spent a several hours tonight dealing with three gentlemen, one of which I have hope is my brother, but not an intellectual giant. One is foul mouthed, indulges in casual sex, and a Mormon. The other seems to have surface knowledge but very much of the world. Tonight I was challenged on many things, such as the typical "the Bible is a copy of a copy" or "told by one generation to another until it was written down". I was able to offer a reasoned response why those ideas are not true and why we can have complete trust in the Bible. However, I was called foul things, told about so many that say otherwise, and that I'm the only one that believes this. Yet I continued to respond with an attempted graciousness, verifiable facts, and the Scripture.

I hope you can understand why it seems my experience tonight is essentially no different than my dealing with you. You point me to writings of others, but not the Scripture. When you pointed me to the Walk Worthy website, I offered evidence that it was something that the believer in the Lord Jesus Christ would run from. Do you still embrace what they proclaim? If so, can you give any evidence, especially from Scripture, where my challenges to them are wrong? What are you trusting in?

While you language has been less colorful, our dialog seems so very similar to my dialog with the Mormon. I've offered Scripture, and you have attacked my character. I ask you to deal with the Lord's commands as followers of Him to reconcile, and you have refused. You have written that my wife is a free woman, and the Lord says otherwise, but you seem to ignore it. Do you see why that is hateful to my wife, my kids, and me? Do you see why that is not "walking worthy"? I want to deal with you as a brother, to reconcile with you, to get past platitudes, and deal man-to-man, or as I have requested, brother-to-brother. That offer remains.

You are well aware that my contention on what you and the institutional church have done in reference to my marriage is an obliteration of Scripture and has been proclaimed loudly to hundreds. You are also aware that my contention with the institution of the institutional church is also being proclaimed and backed with Scripture. You should be aware that dialogs are occurring, but not yet with institutional church leadership. Many of those dialogs are similar to dealing with you, at least dealing with you thus far. Some are with those that are hurting, and to be able to offer grace to the wounded it marvelous. It remains my hope that dialog with institutional church leadership will start, and it would be wonderful if it would start with you. The institution is a fraud. I have offered evidence from Scripture, and more is coming. Do you cling to something you are unwilling to defend? Are you not ready to give and answer? Is there no hope within you? I hope it is obvious that I'm using 1 Peter 3:15. Tonight I lived that verse in proclaiming Jesus Christ as Lord, as the creator of heaven and earth, as the one who breathed all of Scripture (2Tim3:16), and as my Lord and my God (Joh 20:28), to those who offered unpleasantness. But now more than ever, "for me to live is Christ" (Php 1:21).

Please don't take my wording as offensive, but simply direct. Too much is at state to use flowery words. Love contends. Scriptural evidence of that has already been offered. Please see my words as an attempt at love. My offer to you for reconciliation remains on the table. Please take me up on it.

Respectfully,

John Kozlowskí

Email: John@Kozlowski.org • Phone: (423) 716-6432 Kozlowski.org • Distinctivist.com • ShofarNexus.com Fear God and keep his commandments, for this is the whole duty of man.

Ecclesiastes 12:13

From: jimcross45@gmail.com [mailto:jimcross45@gmail.com] On Behalf Of Jim Cross
Sent: Friday, October 13, 2017 7:22 AM
To: John Kozlowski
Subject: Re: Bible Study

I was just offering a gift. That's all there was to it. It's a work of our enemy that you were unable to accept it as such.

Jim

On Thu, Oct 12, 2017 at 8:16 PM, Jim Cross <<u>jimcross@lexcominc.net</u>> wrote:

Since this study has been and is again being helpful to me and is now being helpful to Madge I shared it with you. There is no answer for "why?" but that you came to my mind, and I took that to be a move of the Holy Spirit and out of faithfulness to Him and you I sent it to you.

There is no ulterior motive, and there's no need to debate anything that is in the study. I thought you might find some benefit in studying Spiritual Strongholds. I think most, if not all, believers have some places in their hearts and minds that are very significantly influenced by the "spiritual forces of evil in the heavenly realms."

It was just a friendly gesture on my part, and I hope you are blessed by that. If you do find it to be helpful in any way, I would just appreciate a quick note to let me know that it was. If you don't find it to be helpful, no response is necessary.

Glad your trip to GA went well.

Jim

On Thu, Oct 12, 2017 at 6:52 PM, John Kozlowski <<u>John@kozlowski.org</u>> wrote:

Mr. Cross,

First I am very grateful for the cordial tone of your email. It is encouraging.

However, I'm confused. You are doing a study with your wife, and suggest I consider it, but what about my wife?

I took a quick look at the PDF and found lines like "God has designed a husband to draw from his wife, his nurturing companion..." on the top of page 5 of the PDF. You know how much this rips me to shreds?

It talks about home fellowships. Have you seen the recent videos? Do you know of my advocacy and practice of this?

There seems to also be a hint of Christian Zionism, which I would argue against, but that is a very secondary point.

Let me be honest, and please don't take this as rude. The positive view is that you are attempting to open the door between us in your way. The negative view is you are trying to justify yourself. It is my hope it is the former, but consider our history, I don't really know.

Would you please be clearer in what you are suggesting? This is asked in hope.

Respectfully,

John Kozlowskí

Email: John@Kozlowski.org • Phone: (423) 716-6432 Kozlowski.org • Distinctivist.com • ShofarNexus.com Fear God and keep his commandments, for this is the whole duty of man. Ecclesiastes 12:13

From: Jim Cross [mailto:jimcross45@gmail.com] Sent: Thursday, October 12, 2017 6:26 PM To: John Kozlowski Subject: Bible Study

Hey John,

Madge and I started something this week that is being very helpful to us, and I'm pretty sure it was the Holy Spirit that put it in my mind to send it to you as well.

A couple decades ago I was struggling with something - can't even remember now what it was - that really had me down spiritually. It helped me tremendously. So, recently Madge decided she wanted to go thru it, and to make a long story short, we decided to go thru it together - a little bit every evening.

As we were about to start the study this evening, I thought about you and for some reason felt compelled to send you the pdf that we are using. It's called Demolishing Strongholds and this is a revised version created by the original author. So far I've found it to be almost identical to the one I first used.

I hope you find it beneficial as well.

Jim