## John Kozlowski

**From:** John Kozlowski < John@Kozlowski.org> **Sent:** Tuesday, December 19, 2017 12:55 PM

To: John@Kozlowski.org

**Subject:** RE: Apology

Mr. Cross,

Thank you for addressing the marriage issue, but I use the term "addressing" loosely. I'm sure you are familiar with the difference between exegesis and eisegesis. I contend you have done the latter with the partial quote of Matthew 19:8 and Mark 10:5 "hardness of heart" and notably ignoring even the rest of the sentence "from the beginning it was not so". What you have done is followed the Pharisees (Matthew 19:3, Mark 10:2) and not the Lord. As for me, I will follow the Lord and do exegesis and not eisegesis.

If you want an example of an attempt at exegesis of the passages on marriage, try "Marriage, Divorce, Remarriage, Adultery, Mercy" (<a href="http://family.kozlowski.org/2017-10-14+2">http://family.kozlowski.org/2017-10-14+2</a>) as an example. Prove me wrong, from Scripture and not the Talmud, on any point about the definition of marriage in that video. I contend you can't. I expect you won't try. I contend not doing so is hateful to my wife and kids.

I posed the question "Are you my wife, an adulteress, or dead" (<a href="http://family.kozlowski.org/2017-08-13+1">http://family.kozlowski.org/2017-08-13+1</a>) and contend that this is again a good exposition of Scripture, a demonstration of love, and exegesis and not eisegesis.

You make the statement "You divorced her", but we have been over the fact that you cannot find my signature on any of the state documents and I wasn't even in the court. We do have a definition of terms problem. Are you rationalizing your deeds by accusing me?

You then continue a diatribe but still not really addressing who defines marriage. We can shoot holes in the rest, but then you won't be open to a rational dialog on any point will you? You then crawl into your corner to protect yourself by blocking my emails and claiming you will hide them from my kids.

Sorry, but you will note that I send frequent emails to hundreds. The emails between us can be found at <a href="http://family.kozlowski.org/Person,Cross,JimSr">http://family.kozlowski.org/Person,Cross,JimSr</a>. You might try to hide, you might try to keep the Scripture from my kids, but I am shouting from the rooftops (Mat 10:27). The Lord does not yield control of marriage to the state and I will heed the Lord.

Mr. Cross, let's seriously look at the Scripture together. Let's heed the same Lord. Let's submit to the Lord's definition of marriage and not justify turning to the state.

Come to the table. Treat me as your brother! Otherwise the waterboarding offer remains available to you (http://family.kozlowski.org/2017-12-17).

Respectfully,

John Kozlowski



From: jimcross45@gmail.com [mailto:jimcross45@gmail.com] On Behalf Of Jim Cross

Sent: Tuesday, December 19, 2017 10:27 AM

**To:** John Kozlowski **Subject:** Re: Apology

Okay, John, I will address the more important issue.

The Lord absolutely defines marriage as between one man and one woman. However, He didn't alienate Himself from the men who took on more than one woman, and he also made provision "due to the hardness of their hearts" for there to be divorces.

It appears to me - and to DJ - that you enjoyed making babies. But, it also appears to me that you didn't love them enough to care for their needs. Nor did you love DJ enough to care for her needs. Does that not sound like "hardness of heart" to you? It surely does to me. You, John, were the one who divorced your family due to the hardness of your heart. DJ just made it official to the state in a civil court. You divorced her and your children - not the other way around.

Don't give me excuses for your decision not to care for their needs as if you were being this God-fearing man, choosing to follow Him rather than serve the god of money! That's hogwash, and you should know it. "A righteous man cares for the needs of his animals," is written by Solomon. Wouldn't it follow with more emphasis that a righteous man would care for the needs of his wife and children?!?

Your "righteous" excuses fail to take into account that there are thousands of jobs available to any able-bodied man; jobs that would help meet the needs of others in a godly way while at the same time providing for his family. You just didn't really give a damn about God's command to care for the needs of your family.

You can call me a coward or any other slurs that you have chosen in the past or will manufacture to suite your need for self-righteous bigotry. Those roll off my back like water off a duck's back. They don't stick because there is no truth in them.

By the very hand of the Lord of Heaven's armies, I put a roof over their heads when you refused to. By that same hand, I am still giving a measure of aid to DJ as she does so now on her own.

Whose way is pleasing to the Lord?!? The one who is fulfilling His command to look after orphans and there neglected - and anything but neglectful - mother, or the one who spouts off about the ungodliness of those who are sacrificially filling that void in those children's lives due to his own neglect and dare I say hatred!

How absurd for you to be the one who condemns!!! You say you have made the offer to care for them if you can just have them back. Do you actually believe that any man of normal intelligence would just take you at your word?!? How many times did you make that promise to DJ just to break it when it suited you best to do so.

NO SIR!!! I wouldn't trust you to take care of anybody other than John Joseph Kozlowski!!! He is the one and only person that matters to you. My, goodness!!! That's the reason you want your family back. You see them as you possession, not your responsibility.

You say you want to talk with them on the phone so you can tell them that their mother is sinning in her choice to leave you. You want to undermine her loving, selfless provision for them, and you say the Lord demands it and the state allows it.

WRONG on both counts. The Lord demands that those kids be cared for, and if you weren't/aren't willing to do so, that doesn't negate her and my responsibility to do so. As for the state, you are not to undermine DJ's parenting and therefore she has a right to keep you from having that opportunity.

You say you are basking in the grace of the Lord. Oh that that were true. If you were doing so, you would be a different man. With all my heart, John, I believe you have been so deluded by the evil one that you really believe you are righteous. I pray for you that the true Lord of Heaven and earth will open your eyes and teach you wonderful things out of the law.

Keeping your family in bondage as I said long ago is like treating them as a cult leader does when he keeps his followers in bondage to himself.

I'm very sorry that you are where you are. You had so much opportunity and potential, most of which you have flushed down the drain. I actually pity you. Your own arrogance - cultivated by the evil spirits you are giving in to - has caused the pain and turmoil that you are experiencing it the loss of your whole family.

You say that one day your children will see how you have striven for "reconciliation." Be it known that I will keep these interactions between you and me until they are old enough to understand this whole battle between right and wrong where they are concerned. Then, they will know what a self-centered man of the world you really are.

If you ever really and truly repent of your sin toward Dorothy-Jane, me, and your children, please come and see me face-to-face as the prodigal did with his dad. I will love you and welcome you.

Until that day, your emails will be blocked before they can make it to my inbox.

Paying for you, Jim

On Mon, Dec 18, 2017 at 9:43 AM, John Kozlowski < John@kozlowski.org > wrote:

Mr. Cross,

There is so much here that I would like to address, but history shows it will be ignored or distorted, so I will stick with the blatant. You completely ignored the paragraph starting with "Then there is the more important issue". I continue to contend that this is an **act of hate**.

You seem to be a student of Edward Bernays as what you offer is more propaganda rather than a seeking of the truth or as I have often described the Bible's mandate to "agonizomai". I will attempt to seek the truth with you.

Your phrasing of "You allowed Jack..." gives the impression that I sent him to work while I sat at home having a beer. You are bringing new things to the table, adding accusations without full disclosure, drawing conclusions to fuel a biased view, but offering no inquiry to what is actually the truth.

You introduce the idea of "give that responsibility off to Chris Petrillo". Where did that come from? What you are implying seems ominous, but nothing to do with reality. You seem to be using a set of information to form conclusions but unwilling to validate what you know. Consider Proverbs 18:17 "The one who states his case first seems right, until the other comes and examines him."

Are you not missing that in the "5 years worth of opportunity" there was a constant effort on my part to open the door of communications, and PROVISION, but without part one part two could not be fulfilled?

You state that "I'm not in any way trying to open the door to more communication with you about issues where we have no agreement". However, you used this email to bring in new information which could be used to find agreement. That seems like it would be the model required to follow Mat 5:24. But instead you slam the door closed before allowing any progress, which I suggest is ignoring Mat 5:24.

Then you use the phrase I have used repeatedly from Mat 5:24, "your brother has something against you", in such a way to give a loftiness to your statement. I suggest this again shows the quality of a student of Bernays rather than the Scripture.

You state "It's not my attempt to give you a pathway to control over your family." I suggest you are admitting to being a kidnapper. The Lord defines "control" and you violated the Lord's commands.

You give the veiled threat of "I will not block your emails from my inbox until Wednesday", but the reality is that you are saying to the Lord "NO, I will not follow Mat 5:24, I will not reconcile with my brother."

You state "I have made every attempt to "live at peace" with you." Mr. Cross, as I have stated repeatedly, your directly stated unwillingness to reconcile unless additional terms are first met, your unwillingness to answer direct questions, your own "On-going misrepresentations" of me and the Scripture, your unwillingness to contend on who defines marriage, or actually bowing to the state, is unloving, scorning the Lord's commands, and torture. An offer was made to you on that last point.

Block your emails. Close your ears. Put a steel cage around your heart. However, I will pursue you, in obedience to the Lord, in an effort reconcile with you. I remain at the table.

## John Kozlowskí

Email: John@Kozlowski.org • Phone: (423) 716-6432

Kozlowski.org • Distinctivist.com • ShofarNexus.com

Fear God and keep his commandments, for this is the whole duty of man.

Ecclesiastes 12:13

From: jimcross45@gmail.com [mailto:jimcross45@gmail.com] On Behalf Of Jim Cross

Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 7:02 AM

**To:** John Kozlowski **Subject:** Re: Apology

John,

"On-going misrepresentations" is referring to your intimation directly to me as well as to others who are not part of the problem or solution, that I have refused to reconcile with you. I have only refused to continue to debate the same things over and over again. I submit to you that I have made every attempt to "live at peace" with you.

Now, regarding the "failure to provide." You allowed Jack to get employment at Amazon, and you used his income to provide for some of your family's needs. Would it not have been prudent for you to do the same so that the rest of their needs would be covered? I believe you actually drove him to work at least part of the time. I would think that doing so daily and earning money alongside of him would have not only brought in much if not all that was necessary to take care of them, but would have given opportunity for loving interaction with your son. It would have shown him and your wife that you cared, and would have at least shown some honest effort to take care of them.

Instead, you were willing to give that responsibility off to Chris Petrillo, which I nor your wife were willing to do. We decided that the Lord would require your children to be provided for through the physical effort of one of their parents with the help of a grandparent - not a stranger.

You have been given 5 years worth of opportunity to live up to your God-given responsibility to care for them. I never have asked you to do that. I have just laid that before you as God's clear direction from His word and given you opportunity to live up to same.

So, now I will try this one more time to be clear about the apology above and my repentance regarding my actions.

As far as I can discern, the only way that I have wronged you is in my gossiping about you to others. I have not spoken lies, but I have gossiped, and that is anathema to my Savior. It is absolutely unacceptable in the family of God for one of us to do that to another. My apology to you was and is sincere. My repentance to my Father is as well.

I'm not in any way trying to open the door to more communication with you about issues where we have no agreement. But, I will not block your emails from my inbox until Wednesday. That will give you time to repent of your on-going sin against your children and respond to me with your willingness to make provision for them.

I suggest that between now and then that you take an inventory of the areas of demonic strongholds in you. Take the challenge to go thru that as a study, not as a book. Ask the Holy Spirit to demolish all deceit that is in you and to open your eyes to the places that His enemy has residence in your heart. I have done that and am continuing in that effort. It has been unbelievably helpful to me. The Holy Spirit is changing me.

Please consider getting with someone who will be honest with you and ask them to do this with you.

John, your brother has something against you and this is my attempt to give you opportunity for us to be reconciled. It's not my attempt to give you a pathway to control over your family. I want to be sure you know that. I don't want there to be any misrepresentation there.

Jim

On Sun, Dec 17, 2017 at 10:46 AM, John Kozlowski < John@kozlowski.org> wrote:

Mr. Cross,

Thank you for your email apology and I am grateful, but confused or unsure about what is being written.

As to "unkind things" being said, written, or thought, we undoubtedly are both guilty. However, this is what grace is all about. We should be able to move beyond that, offering and receiving apologies, but continuing in rational and loving dialog, irrespective of the presence or absence of apologies.

However you state "on-going misrepresentations" which sounds like justification, but is not specific. It is so easy to say that others are wrong in generalities, but to be willing to be specific, to back up your claims with evidence that you are willing to have tested seems lacking. You claim a "failure to provide for them" by me, but remain unwilling to respond to questions such as what was presented in the "Holding Jobs" video (<a href="http://family.kozlowski.org/2017-07-02+1">http://family.kozlowski.org/2017-07-02+1</a>).

You state "I have not lied about you or your actions", but if you claim to any degree that "failure to provide" was based on unwillingness or laziness, rather than errors in an effort to have the world and love the Lord, which was my sin, then I suggest your statement is untrue.

Then there is the more important issue. You state that "DJ is divorced". In the eyes of the state, this is true. In the eyes of the Lord, this is false. Who is you master, the state, the Talmud, or the Lord? Until we are on the same page on this, we are seeking different Lords. Conversation about provision pales in comparison to who defines marriage. I contend you are preparing your daughter for adultery and not loving her as a father should. I've offered evidence on this and you ran. I suggest you need to prove me wrong from Scripture, or what you have done and continue to do is hateful to my wife and children.

I am reminded of "Go in peace, be warmed and filled" from James 2:16. I suggest the sorry-about-that tone is essentially the "Go in peace", and it is milk not meat. The meat is my striving for the world and the Lord, which doesn't work and I was wrong. This is what I have repented of. However in a previous discussion we don't seem to see the same in Mat 6:24, and Luk 16:13 about two masters. I blundered in my attempts and it cost my family greatly. However, I remain troubled with working in organizations involved in theft, treason, and murder. I don't think the "Nuremberg Defense" works with the Lord, do you? I suggest you are pushing in the wrong direction.

Please come to the table. Prove me wrong, or if I am right, we have a lot of recovery to do. Let's start.

Respectfully,

John Kozlowskí

Email: John@Kozlowski.org • Phone: (423) 716-6432

Kozlowski.org • Distinctivist.com • ShofarNexus.com

Fear God and keep his commandments, for this is the whole duty of man.

Ecclesiastes 12:13

From: Jim Cross [mailto:<u>jimcross45@gmail.com</u>]
Sent: Sunday, December 17, 2017 9:19 AM

To: John Kozlowski
Subject: Apology

Good morning, John,

In my study of Spiritual Strongholds I came to face the fact that there is one area where I have sinned against you, and I am writing this to let you know that I have repented of that and will - with the help of the Holy Spirit - refrain from it in the future.

I have said very unkind things about you to some people who are not a part of the problem or solution in reference to your actions toward me, Dorothy-Jane, and your children. I am speaking of people who know that DJ is divorced and I have told them about your failure to provide for them. I have told them of your ongoing misrepresentations of our words and relationships, etc. The Lord has clearly shown me that to do so is to sin against you, which obviously is also sinning against Him. For this I have repented. To be clear, I have not lied about you or your actions, but I have spoken when I should have been totally silent.

There are others, however, that you have brought into this via your email campaigns. Any of those who contact me - and there have only been two that I can recall - will get straight forward answers because your bringing them into the conversation has made them party to the problem. However, I will not elaborate in regard to your failures. I will be concise with answers in such a way as to simply give clarity.

But, for those that I have brought into the conversation, I sincerely apologize to you. I was wrong, and will not continue in that behavior. That's a promise. Please forgive me.

Jim