## John Kozlowski

From: John Kozlowski < John@Kozlowski.org>
Sent: Monday, December 16, 2019 10:40 AM

To: 'Jim Cross'

Cc: Dorothy-Jane Kozlowski (Outlook); 'Dorothy-Jane Kozlowski'; 'Erika Leigh Sams'; 'Jack

Cross'; 'Tirzah Kozlowski'; 'Julia Williams'; 'Isaac Owen Williams'

**Subject:** RE: George 2

Mr. Cross,

Isn't it ironic that the video I sent to George last night I spoke of the fallacy of projection? Your email is an example of that.

Note also that you remain focused on money, not the Scripture. There is the fluffy stuff about love, but not the reality of it. There is a large volume of Scripture exposition set before you in this email exchange, previous emails and in video form, but all you can respond with in any depth is money. Which god do you serve? (Mat 6:24)

You state "I still commit to loving you, and I prove that to my Father by regularly praying for you." Do you see the analogy with James 2:16 "Go in peace, be warmed and filled"? Do you understand how your statement is the fallacy of projection? Do you see my request to you: 'In 1st Corinthians 16:13 we are commanded to "act like men" is being ignored?

Let me guess, you are trying to show people the difference between Thessalonica and Berea in Acts 17. You are playing the role of the "rabble" against Jason. Do you see this?

In the earlier email I made a statement that I would like to quote:

I will continue to claim to Dorothy-Jane "the person who loves you is not your dad, but me". A pimp offers money. A husband attempts to the "washing of water with the word" (Eph 5:26), which is pointing her to Christ not protection by the state.

You talk vaguely about money, and then more about money, and a bit about money. You shroud your talk with a fluffy use of love, but completely refuse to touch any area of the Scripture presented to you. It seem the god of money, the security of the state, the power of the institution is your allegiance, but obedience to Christ is secondary at best.

You state "But I have - ever since the day I picked up your family - been willing to reconcile with you. You know that to be the truth..." As in yesterday's video to George I gave the example of Bashar Al Assad and how accusations are made, but evidence is lacking. Yes, as Assad, I am a flawed man, but give evidence to the flaws and work with me to correct them. Just pointing to me as bad without evidence is what a salesman does to sell a product to a customer who does not need it. You have stated a willingness to reconcile only if I agree to your terms first. Don't you see the fallacy in that? You have locked the door and then said come in. There is an inconsistency problem here.

On the topic of being vague, you continue to give this call to repent, but of what? Please be specific. You find offense when I bring up the \$80K you previously suggested, so keep that in mind when you get specific on what to repent of. Please write clearly and unambiguously what you mean by repent.

If you want an example of love, consider the amount of effort that was required to develop <a href="http://Family.Kozlowski.org">http://Family.Kozlowski.org</a>. Consider the stamina required to put 800+ voicemails online and not give up. Consider how easy it would be to just walk away and ignore my family, compared to the reality of my continual attempt to

communicate with them. Consider that in obedience to Christ, I have not used the state to gain access to my kids, because I do believe it is a shameful thing (1Co6), which clearly is not shared.

## You want an example of love? It is coming from Tennessee.

Speaking of "liking", I still like Dorothy-Jane. I think she is cute! She has my heart.

As before this is online at: <a href="http://family.kozlowski.org/Email-2019-12-16+1">http://family.kozlowski.org/Email-2019-12-16+1</a>

Respectfully,

## John Kozlowskí



Email: John@Kozlowski.org • Phone: (423) 716-6432

Kozlowski.org • ShofarNexus.com • ShofarBook.com • ShofarCoin.com

Fear God and keep his commandments, for this is the whole duty of man.

Ecclesiastes 12:13

**From:** Jim Cross [mailto:jimcross@lexcominc.net] **Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2019 9:27 AM

**To:** John Kozlowski **Subject:** Re: George

I just want to respond to one thing that you wrote back.

You have misconstrued my reference a couple years ago regarding the \$80,000 number. That was a response to a question you kept asking me. As I recall you kept asking me what I thought it would cost to care for a family the size of yours. I responded with a number that - from my experience - seemed about right. Then you took that and used it as a weapon against me. Nothing new there.

I should know enough to never answer a direct question from you because, like all politicians, you twist and turn it until it says something that suits your purposes. I don't like that, and I don't like you for doing so.

Jesus calls me to love you. That is an active verb and the reason I wrote to you last week. But I don't find anywhere in Scripture where I'm called to like you. I don't. But I have - ever since the day I picked up your family - been willing to reconcile with you. You know that to be the truth, and yet you always put you evil spin on it.

I still commit to loving you, and I prove that to my Father by regularly praying for you. I'm sending this email with a prayer that you will consider your responsibility to care for those you fathered - including the one who cared enough for you to call you to repent.

Jim

On Fri, Dec 13, 2019 at 5:53 PM John Kozlowski < John@kozlowski.org > wrote:

Mr. Cross,

One of the first thoughts I had when I saw your email was "I guess he is still alive". Two years of silence leaves questions in your mind.

To be honest, with my first read I felt that the salesman is still selling to himself. It is a lot of talk around subjects, but little direct.

The impression I have from your indirect statements in your July 12, 2012 letter, and this email seems consistent with the idea you proposed earlier that I must be making at least \$80K in order to support my family and this is what love is. Considering the amount of foul language I hear from others, it is a very tempting time to use it myself. I'll just state that your attitude is heartless, cruel, and in no way obedience to the Lord.

We once discussed Matthew 6:24 "You cannot serve God and money." I took the absolute approach and you offered pragmatism. If you watched the video I sent you on November 26 (<a href="http://family.kozlowski.org/2019-11-26+2">http://family.kozlowski.org/2019-11-26+2</a>) I misquoted and said "God and man", but I think you get the point. It seems you remain the pragmatist and considering what I read from George, influenced him to do the same. Well, I'm sorry, I am striving all the more to be obedient to Christ alone and not the god of pragmatism.

It would be easy for me to quickly find a six-figure position, and considering the area I know very well, seven-figures is very doable. But it is not the amount, it is what is done to get that amount. In Sunday's video I did mention that my industry is corrupt and that "Big Data is the new Oil". But what keeps me from going there is the same that has caused the problems in the past. Little things like Exodus 20:13, 15, 16, and 17, Leviticus 19:18; just the trivial stuff. But if we don't follow the same Lord we will value these things differently.

It was one thing years ago to find I was part of the esteemed few described as "high strung Prima donnas" by the CEO of Atari. That is a humorous anecdote. But once I found that groups I was with killed someone who went astray, my conviction level increased. I remember a dialog with a man that concerned me about his underlying character wrapped in a Christian façade. My guess today is he was directly responsible for a fellow Geek's death, and a few other things. The importance of following Christ becomes all the more a concern. In the last years I have matured to the point that I don't look back but press forward (Php 3:14). I'm not going to stop. If that scars you, RUN!!!

You state "Then if you are ready to repent, call me." This is a marketing line, another sales message to yourself. In other words, rationalization. As before when I appealed for reconciliation between you and me without barriers, you put up a barrier to prevent that and give you an excuse. Nothing has changed. You give a feel good of offering to call, but set terms beforehand. This is not an act of love but rationalization.

I think it was in a recent voicemail, which you can of course find online, that I mentioned that the November 26 video to you was reviewed with a friend. He said I was a bit vague and unclear. That was obviously a farce. But your emails and communication are very vague but always seem to hint at money, which does seem to be your god. I'm not going

to offer fluff, but be clear, bold, and unambiguous (Parrhesia <a href="http://kozlowski.org/Parrhesia">http://kozlowski.org/Parrhesia</a>). That is the model of Scripture as the link references and in obedience to Christ what I will do. Also as stated, I will make mistakes. A brother will correct my mistakes from Scripture, a coward will run. I think you get the point.

I will continue to claim to Dorothy-Jane "the person who loves you is not your dad, but me". A pimp offers money. A husband attempts to the "washing of water with the word" (Eph 5:26), which is pointing her to Christ not protection by the state.

Mr. Cross, drop the barriers. It is just pride that keeps you from direct dialog with me. Pride is a killer. I know, I am a partaker and in continual repentance. I stated very clearly that we need direct dialog with the full understanding there will be a lot of mistakes along the way. Let's make the mistakes and press on. Hiding is hate (1Jn 2:9).

Three hundred plus emails went out yesterday, and I expect little from PCA members, as the institution must protect the institution. The irony is my first response was from my Mormon friend in Utah. He serves a false god but is willing to take a stand on what he believes. The PCA claims to server the Lord Jesus Christ, but reality isn't the same, is it? But that is the PCA. How about you and me? Should we drop the barriers to dialog?

A whole lot of Scripture was used in Sunday's video. Can you point to one place where it was used wrongly? If not, if you claim the Scripture as your guide, live by it!

The video was put online Wednesday evening and has been seen at least 40 times even though it has been shadow banned and is long. I'm not going to stop and George's email has encouraged me to press on all the stronger.

All my efforts to reconcile are online and that includes emails and videos to you. You must keep George and my other kids away from that or they might hear a different story than what you present (Proverbs 18:17). You will have to live in fear of this, or come to the table to reconcile and find the peace of a reconciled relationship between two flawed men.

In 1<sup>st</sup> Corinthians 16:13 we are commanded to "act like men", which is a single Greek word "andrizomai", which is described as "to show one's self a man, be brave". Don't continue as a coward hiding in a corner refusing to dialog with a man who has for years attempted to communicate with you. Don't use vague language, but write clearly or again parrhesia. I see hope for my son Jack in 1Co6:11, but there is no hope shown in Rev 21:8 for the faithless or coward. As I forcibly stated in my video to you: "Be a man; love!"

I will also add that the statement in your email "bring you home" might have implications that I have addressed and is clearly mentioned in Sunday's video with the often repeated statement "the Bible is true and the institutional church is

a fraud." A whole lot of study went behind this, a lot of Scripture and history was used to back it up in the video, and I would be willing to engage in dialog with you on it. But be clear, the institutional church is not my home, but fellowship with the Lord, and the assembly written about in Scripture. The joys have been fantastic.

I will continue with my repeated claim that I am going to follow the model of Paul in Gal 2 with the hope of Peter's response. Remember Paul called out Peter publically. I am responding to you, including others, and will put this online at: <a href="http://family.kozlowski.org/Email-2019-12-13+1">http://family.kozlowski.org/Email-2019-12-13+1</a>

Respectfully, and in hope,

## John Kozlowskí

Email: John@Kozlowski.org • Phone: (423) 716-6432

<u>Kozlowski.org</u> • <u>ShofarNexus.com</u> • <u>ShofarBook.com</u> • <u>ShofarCoin.com</u> Fear God and keep his commandments, for this is the whole duty of man.

Ecclesiastes 12:13

From: Jim Cross [mailto:<u>jimcross45@gmail.com</u>]
Sent: Friday, December 13, 2019 12:55 PM

To: John Kozlowski
Subject: Re: George

This morning I decided to take a look at a few of your responses to George's kind request for your repentance. What I found was that you focused on one part of the message while disregarding the heart of his message.

George is now old enough to see that you have not, on a regular basis been a kind, good, and loving father to him, and he is calling you to cover the first base. He's not interested in just having someone he can call a dad. He's wishing for a true man of God who will put his wife's and his children's needs before his own.

Without your repentance on that issue, there cannot be any reconciliation. That will only come thru humbling yourself. I'm sure you still have the letter I put in your hand the day I rescued your wife from the abuse she had suffered. She took that abuse for many years out of what she thought was her duty. That changed when she realized that she had a duty to protect her children from further harm.

You say you wan	t to reconcile.	Please re-read m	y letter fro	om those years	ago and your	son's recent	plea for
your repentance.	Then if you ar	e ready to repent.	, call me.	Otherwise it w	on't happen.		

In that letter I gave you a path to reconciliation. To date there has been no movement in that direction. Since you are an intelligent man, I would suggest that you do your best to look at this email with an open mind as well as with an open heart to the Lord and your family.

When George asked his mama to send that message to you, she was reluctant to do so. But, she and I had a long discussion in which I shared my thoughts with her. I told her that this was a plea from a young man to his wayward dad, asking for his dad to repent (do an about face). I suggested that this just might be the communication that the Lord would use to "bring you home." I let her know that I thought that this heartfelt plea from a young believer to an old believer should go out with prayers for it to be absorbed and acted upon. We have definitely been doing that.

Wit	h tru	ie lo	ve,
-----	-------	-------	-----

Jim

P.S. To be sure, Scripture would make it clear that I nor any other man have always followed thru with putting our wives' and families' needs ahead of our own all the time. We are all flawed in that. But, with the Lord's help, that should be the pursuit of a godly man.